52,2 Baxter

FTN 73

Fresident
THEMAS'S HOLGEN, President · McHenry Star Bank McHerry, Meters SCUSA)

First Value President
: HENNE! T Chief Executive Diffee: Arthur State Bank Janua Sunti Carulana 29179

SECOND VICE PRESIDENT
ROBERT L MCCORMICK JR , President/CEC
Siderator National ! - - - Trust Company Am: 7407.1 Shilmine

PROSERT H. FEARON JR , President Orests Valley National Manh Orests New York 1347



March 6, 1981

Mr. Randy Miller Frauds Section Department of Justice 315 9th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20350

Dear Mr. Miller:

Enclosed please find a retyped copy referred to in the correspondence of Tr president of this Association, with the dated February 26, 1981. The enclosure the Investment Company Institute on Jar and hence, the confusion over its datir understanding that the original of the dated in December, 1979.

rlease do not hesitate to call me a you have any questions.

212-720-1530

New York Federal Reserve

Sincerely,

Richard W. Peterson Legislative Counsel

RWP : KS

Enclosure

Attachment I

TETYFED BY ICI 1/9/80 LURIGINAL ATTACHED

Mr. Marth Lybecker
Associate Director
Division of Marketing Management
Securities and Exchange Commission
500 North Capitol Street
Washington; D.C. 20549

Deer Mr. Lybecker:

By his letter of October 19. 1979 to the Attorney General, Mr. Morris D. Crawford, Jr., Chairman of the Board of the Bowery Savings Bank of New York furnished a copy of a letter from him of October 18, 1979, showing the Securities and Exchange Commission among others as an addressee. That letter questioned the propriety in several aspects of money market fund operations, which are, as he notes, regulated principally under provisions of Federal law administered by the Commission. To assist the . Commission in that regard and in its evaluation of the issues raised by Mr. Crawford, we are furnishing you herewith our views on Mr. Crawford's allegation that many money market funds violate section 21 of the Glass-Stragall Act, 48 Stat. 189 (12 U.S.C. 378(a)(1) as amended), by their provision of a mechanism that permits a fund investor to write an order to "redem" (sell) so much of his investment as may be necessary upon presentation of the order to the fund's transfer agent (usually a bank) and for the agent to pay a specified sum to whomever presents the order. According to Mr. Crawford, such orders to sell and pay are generally referred to as checks. Enforcement of the cited statutory provision is a responsibility of the General Litigation and Legal Advice Section of the Criminal Division. Department of Justice.

Under the cited statutory provision, it would be unlawful for a money market fund "...to engage in the business of receivin deposits subject to check or to repayment upon presentation of a passbook, certificate of deposit, or other evidence of debt or upon request of the depositor..." Mr. Crawford predicates or upon request of the depositor..." Mr. Crawford predicates in his allegation upon his conclusion that an investor's interest in deposit. dismissing at page 6 of his deposit. dismissing at page 6 of his deposit. dismissing at page 6 of his deposit to the fact that the value in dollars of an investor's shares in in dollars of an investor's shares in in dollars of an investor's shares in in dollars of an investor's not only ation..." We note that market fluctuation involves not only ation..." We note that market fluctuation involves not only ation..." We note that market fluctuation involves not only ation..." Crawford.

It is patent from the quoted statutory language that a depositor is only a creditor of his depository (a dehter in the case of an authorized overdraft, which indebtedness he must liquidate by a "deposit"). It is equally patent that one who invests in a woney market fund is an owner pro tanto of the fund

Availability of particular mechanisms for an investor to transfer his ownership is a mere formality and serves in no way to alter the substance of his status as owner. As between him and the fund, the potential for capital gain or loss on his investment remains uneffected by the means he may select to realize his investment, and he is not, by his selection of the mechanism of a combined order to sell and pay over (check) to realize his investment, converted into a mere creditor of the fund with no expectation of capital gain or loss from the fund upon realization. Note, though not relevant to the issue at hand, one may question whether, being payable only from funds to be produced by liquidation of an investment, the instruments here concerned are unconditional orders such that, being drafts drawn on a bank, they are checks. See e.g. 23 I.C. Code 3-104 and 103.

Significantly, the statute here involved encompasses virtually any means a bank's creditor may employ to secure repayment of his deposit, viz: check, presentation of evidence of debt or mere request. Thus Mr. Crawford's argument proves too much. If funds invested in a money market fund are in fact deposits. It funds invested is violated as much by liquidation of the investment and repayment on request of the investor as by the investment and repayment on request of the investor as by the investor's use of a "check" for that purpose. This would mean that no pooling of resources by investors to buy and sell money market securities for their mutual advantage would be lawful, tor the "... person, firm, corporation, association, business trust, or other similar organization..." for pooling investor resources (section 21, Glass-Steagall Act, supra) would necessarily engage in selling money market securities while at the same time receiving "deposits":

In light of the holding in Investment Co. Institute v. Camp, 401 U.S. 617 (1971) that section 16 of the Glass-Steagall Act, 12 U.S.C. 24, as amended, precludes engagement of a national bank in the investment banking business, the proviso in section 21. of the Class-Steagall Act, supra, excluding from that prohibition bank security transactions permitted under section 16 of the Act, will not permit a bank to pool investors' resources for investment on their behalf in money warket securities. cited cases dealt specifically with a stock fund operation, but as the Court said,"...the breadth of the term (securities) 1s implicit in the fact that the antecedent statutory language (in sections 16 and 21 of the Glass-Steagall Act, supra) encompasses not only equity securities but also securities Tepresenting debt." Investment Co. Institute v. Comp. supra, at 635. The cited opinion makes it clear that the intent of Congress in passing the Glass-Steagall Act was to sever banks from investment banking, not to put an end to all investment banking business.

45%

FRANY LEGAL DEPT.

Reference to stock funds raises—a further objection to Mr. Crawford's conclusion that investors in a money market fund are mere depositors. Surely the relationship between a fund and its investors cannot depend upon the character of the resheld by the fund. It is probably true that a stock fund investment is generally more speculative than investment in a money market fund, but that distinction has no significance in assessment of the legal nature of the relationship between a fund and its investors. Note that the foregoing has not and need not involve consideration of permitted transactions of a bank for its own account in investment securities under section 16 of the Glass-Steagall Act, supra.

Inasmuch as investors in a money market fund are, in our view, owners of the fund and not mere depositors, we perceive no violation of section 21(a), Glass-Steagall Act. supra, in permitting an investor in such a fund to realize his investment by means of a check or otherwise. Please call Mr. James Robinson on 724-7526 should you wish to discuss aspects of the foregoing observations informally.

· Sincerely,

Philip B. Heymann
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division

Ву::

Lawrence Lippe, Chief General Litigation and Legal Advice Section

96%

r.05

9 --

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10045-0001

AREA CODE 212-720-5000

DATE:	April 21, 1997
FAX TO:	Ms. Moss
FAX NO.:	(410) 706-6070 0072
NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER)	5
FAX FROM:	Stephanie Heller
FAX NO.:	(212) 720-1530
COMMENTS:	
IF TRANSMISSION NOT COMPLETE, PLEASE CALL:	
	IE: VANESSA SILVA
TELEPHONE N	